

©

Government of Kerala  
കേരള സർക്കാർ  
2010



Reg. No. രജി. നമ്പർ  
KL/TV(N)/12/2009-2011

# KERALA GAZETTE

## കേരള ഗസറ്റ്

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

അയികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധീകരിച്ചതുന്ത്

Vol. LV വാല്യം 55	THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, TUESDAY തിരുവനന്തപുരം, തെരാവ്	13th April 2010 2010 ഏപ്രിൽ 13 23rd Chaithra 1932 1932 ചെപ്രതോ 23	No. നമ്പർ	15
----------------------	--	--	--------------	----

**Labour and Rehabilitation Department**  
**Labour and Rehabilitation (A)**

ORDERS

(1)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 268/2010/LBR.

*Thiruvananthapuram, 17th February 2010.*

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Partner, Rosanto Tile Factory, Marathakara P. O., Ollur, Thrissur-680 306 and the worker of the above referred establishment Smt. K.M. Kavitha, Khrill House, Thrikkur P.O, Thrissur District in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal Smt. K. M. Kavitha, Worker from the service by the management of Rosanto Tile Factory, Marathakara, Ollur is justifiable  
or

not ? If not, what are the benefits she is entitled to ?

(2)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 269/2010/LBR.

*Thiruvananthapuram, 17th February 2010.*

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Managing Partner, G E. M. Hospital, Bennet Road, Thrissur-20 and the

workmen of the above referred establishment represented by Shri C.V. Baby, President, Kerala Private Pharmacists Association, Chalissery Veedu, Chelakkara, Thrissur-680 586 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Smt. T. J. Cicily, Pharmacist, G. E. M. Hospital, Thrissur by the management is justifiable or not ? If not, what relief she is entitled to get ?

(3)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 270/2010/LBR.

*Thiruvananthapuram, 17th February 2010.*

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Shri. V. K. Damodaran Vaidyar, Valiyeri Vaidyasala, Narikkuni P. O. Kozhikode and the workman of the above referred establishment Smt. P. Mallika w/o Thulasidharan, Moothedathu Purayil Veedu, Narikkuni P. O., Nediyanadu in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kozhikode. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. P. Mallika, workman by the employer of Valiyeri Vaidyasala, Narikkuni, Kozhikode is justifiable? If not, what are the benefits she is entitled to get ?

(4)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 282/2010/LBR.

*Thiruvananthapuram, 18th February 2010.*

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Father Thomas Mekkattu Parambil, Director, Theeradesa Mahila Society, Pallithottam P. O., Kollam-691 006 and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri V. Vincent, Vayalil Veedu, Vadakkumbhagam, Eravipuram P.O., Kollam-691 011 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

Whether the termination of service of Shri V. Vincent, Accountant by the management of Theeradesa Mahila Society, Pallithottam, Kollam in the vague of reduction of retirement age is justifiable or not ? If not, what relief the worker is entitled to get ?

(5)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 285/2010/LBR.

*Thiruvananthapuram, 18th February 2010.*

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Managing Director, Kerala State Bamboo Corporation Limited, Angamaly, Ernakulam District and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri T. P. Joy s/o Paulose, Rachil House, North Kidangoor, Near Sree Bhadra L.P. School, Angamaly in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the retirement from service awarded to Shri. T. P. Joy, Worker of Kerala State Bamboo Corporation Limited by the management on 31-1-2005 is justifiable ? If not, what remedy he is entitled to get?

(6)

G O. (Rt.) No. 286/2010/LBR.

*Thiruvananthapuram, 18th February 2010.*

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Managing Director, State Farming Corporation of Kerala Limited, PB No. 13, Farm House, Vettithitta P.O., Punalur, Kollam District and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Kerala State Farming Corporation Workers Association (INTUC), Pathanapuram, Kollam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the demand of regularisation of employment to Smt. K. Vijayamma (Card No. 700), Worker of State Farming Corporation of Kerala Limited, Kumaramkudy Estate by the management of State Farming Corporation of Kerala Limited, Punalur is justifiable? If not, what relief she is entitled to get ?

(7)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 293/2010/LBR.

*Thiruvananthapuram, 18th February 2010.*

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between 1. Kerala Khadi and Village Industries Board, Thiruvananthapuram, 2. The Secretary, Kerala Khadi and Village Industries Board, Thiruvananthapuram, 3. The Managing Director, All Kerala Cottage and Match Industries Federation (Matchfed), Kollam and the workman of the above referred

establishment Shri Vinukumar, T., Ambili Bhavan, Kollamkonam, Vilappilsala P. O., Thiruvananthapuram in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the denial of employment Shri T. Vinukumar, Office Assistant, All Kerala Cottage and Match Industries Federation by the Management is Justifiable?
2. Whether the employee is eligible for appointment under the Kerala Khadi and Village Industries Board, being the Controlling Authority of the Matchfed which is defunct from 2004 onwards? If not, what are the reliefs he is entitled to?

By order of the Governor,

G. SIVAPRASAD,

*Under Secretary to Government.*